Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences
Journal home page:
http://ijournal.uz/index.php/jartes

THE SOCIAL ROLE AND INDEPENDENCE OF WOMEN: THE FEMINIST POSITION OF THE BRONTË SISTERS

Muzdalifa Toshniyozova[1]

Karshi State University

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15496718

Article History	Abstract
Received: 10.04.2025 Accepted: 23.05.2025	This article explores how Charlotte, Emily, and Anne Brontë articulated and advanced feminist ideas concerning the social role and independence of women in mid-Victorian England. Although the term "feminism" was not yet in common use, the sisters' prose fiction challenged prevailing gender hierarchies, critiqued legal and economic restrictions, and imagined alternative models of female autonomy. Through a close textual reading of Jane Eyre, Wuthering Heights, and The Tenant of Wildfell Hall in dialogue with nineteenth-century social history and modern feminist theory, the study demonstrates that the Brontës reframed marriage as a partnership, foregrounded female agency, and exposed the psychological costs of patriarchal confinement. Methodologically the research combines narratological analysis, intertextual comparison, and contextualization within period discourse on women's "separate sphere." The findings suggest that the sisters' literary strategies—interior focalization, ironic commentary, and subversive plot structure—produced a nuanced critique that resonates with later feminist arguments advanced by writers such as Simone de Beauvoir and Sandra Gilbert. The article concludes that the Brontës occupy a pivotal position in the genealogy of feminist thought: they neither merely echoed contemporary reformist voices nor awaited twentieth-century liberationist movements but rather forged a distinctive rhetorical space in which female subjectivity could be imagined as self-determining.

Keywords: Brontë sisters; feminist literary criticism; women's independence; Victorian novel; gender studies; social role of women.

[1] Student of the Faculty of Foreign Languages, majoring in Philology and Teaching English Language, Karshi State University, Uzbekistan

The Victorian period was marked by an intricate negotiation of gender norms, patriarchal legislation, and industrial capitalism, each converging to delimit women's social mobility and economic self-sufficiency [9]. Within this restrictive milieu the Brontë sisters emerged from a provincial parsonage in Haworth to publish novels that startled contemporary critics with their emotional intensity and narrative audacity. Reviewers castigated the works as "coarse" or "unfeminine," yet readers sensed a rebellious undercurrent that insisted on female desire, intellect, and moral agency [3]. Literary historians have repeatedly associated this undercurrent with early feminist consciousness, but the precise contours of the Brontës' position remain contested. Some scholars emphasize Charlotte's advocacy of qualified domesticity, others focus on Emily's existential individualism, while still others foreground Anne's outspoken critique of marital power structures [6]. The present study seeks to synthesize these strands by interrogating how the sisters' fiction collectively articulated a coherent, if multivocal, vision of women's independence. Rather than treating the novels as isolated testimonies, the analysis situates them against legislative debates on the Married Women's Property Acts, medical discourses surrounding "female fragility," and evangelical redefinitions of womanly virtue. This wider frame not only sharpens our understanding of the Brontës' thematic preoccupations but also underscores their intervention in public conversations about gender.

The study is grounded in a multi-layered qualitative design that integrates textual, historical, and theoretical strands in order to elucidate the feminist implications of the Brontë sisters' fiction. The primary corpus—Jane Eyre (first edition, Smith, Elder & Co., 1847), Wuthering Heights (first edition, Thomas Cautley Newby, 1847), and The Tenant of Wildfell Hall (first edition, Thomas Cautley Newby, 1848)—was chosen for its authorial authenticity and for the sisters' direct control over thematic development. All quotations derive from these first-edition texts so that subsequent editorial emendations would not obscure the novels' original ideological contours.

A hermeneutic close-reading protocol was employed, proceeding sentence by sentence and scene by scene to trace how narrative voice, focalization, and figurative language construct female agency. Marginal annotations captured recurrent lexical clusters associated with autonomy, confinement, and economic exchange; these annotations then informed an interpretive memoing process in which emerging patterns were articulated as provisional claims. To mitigate the subjectivity inherent in literary hermeneutics, each memo was cross-checked against contemporaneous social documents—parliamentary debates on the 1839 Custody of Infants Act and the 1844 and 1847 Factory Acts, medical treatises on "hysteria," and religious periodicals advocating the doctrine of separate spheres—thereby grounding textual interpretation in the socio-legal discourse the Brontës would have encountered.

The analysis also benefitted from a modest digital-humanities component. Using the open-source corpus tool AntConc (version 4.2), concordance lines were generated for keywords such as "independence," "property," "will," "right," and "duty." Collocate tables

revealed statistically significant co-occurrences with terms like “spirit,” “self,” and “law,” lending quantitative support to the qualitative impression that the novels consistently link female selfhood to questions of legal status and moral autonomy. These findings did not function as standalone evidence; rather, they served as an additional heuristic that sharpened subsequent rounds of close reading.

Secondary criticism was curated through a purposive sampling strategy that prioritized feminist theoretical works cited in Higher Attestation Commission guidelines—namely monographs by Gilbert and Gubar, Beauvoir, Moglen, and more recent intersectional studies that examine class and colonial subtexts in the Brontës. Archival reviews from The Westminster Review and The Quarterly Review were consulted in microfilm form to reconstruct first-wave reception. All secondary sources were evaluated for methodological transparency and historiographical relevance before being triangulated with primary-text observations.

Analysis of Jane Eyre reveals a protagonist who refuses to accept economic dependency as the price of affection. Jane’s insistence on moral and financial equality with Rochester culminates in her inheritance of Eyre family wealth, allowing the marriage to be contracted on newly symmetrical terms. The narrative’s first-person perspective grants readers intimate access to Jane’s internal debates, thereby legitimizing her selfhood as an interpretive authority over external patriarchal judgments. Emily Brontë’s Wuthering Heights departs from traditional courtship plots by depicting Catherine Earnshaw’s thwarted quest for self-integration; her famous declaration that Heathcliff is “more myself than I am” dramatizes a longing for existential wholeness beyond marital conventions. The novel’s nested narrative structure juxtaposes Catherine’s spiritual ambitions with the material restrictions of property transfer, exposing the violence inherent in converting women into instruments of lineage. In The Tenant of Wildfell Hall Anne Brontë radicalizes the discourse by presenting Helen Huntingdon’s flight from an abusive marriage as an ethical imperative. Helen’s clandestine authorship of her diary not only documents domestic tyranny but also becomes an act of economic resilience when her art sustains her and her son. The three novels thus converge in portraying female characters who claim interpretive agency, resist legal subordination, and imagine new forms of partnership. Collectively they articulate independence not as solitary autonomy but as the capacity to negotiate social relations from a position of self-respect and mutual recognition.

The Brontë sisters’ challenge to Victorian gender ideology operates simultaneously on thematic, structural, and rhetorical levels. Thematically, each novel dissects a distinct axis of oppression: educational deprivation in Charlotte’s Lowood chapters, patriarchal lineage in Emily’s genealogical labyrinth, and legal disenfranchisement in Anne’s portrayal of marital property. Yet the sisters do not merely expose constraints; they re-envision social roles through narrative resolutions that reward female perseverance with material and affective security. Structurally, their use of deeply interiorized narration undermines the objective authority of male-dominated discourse. By foregrounding women’s subjective

experience, the texts anticipate later feminist arguments about the epistemic value of embodied knowledge [4]. Rhetorically, the novels employ irony and intertextual parody—for instance, Charlotte's subversion of Gothic tropes or Anne's critique of sentimental fiction—to disrupt readerly expectations about feminine decorum.

These strategies must be understood in relation to mid-nineteenth-century debates such as the 1851 census discussions on women's employment and the first petitions for married women's property rights. Although the Brontës did not engage directly in organised activism, their fiction supplied affective narratives that legitimized women's grievances in the cultural imagination. Furthermore, the sisters' rural upbringing and limited social exposure belie the sophistication with which they navigated legal discourse; legal terminology surrounding settlements, dowries, and guardianship permeates their plots, suggesting an acute awareness of jurisprudential mechanisms. The endurance of their works in feminist scholarship indicates that they inaugurated narrative archetypes—the self-supporting governess, the rebellious heiress, the runaway wife—that continue to inform gender politics in literature.

Examining the novels of Charlotte, Emily, and Anne Brontë through a feminist lens reveals a coherent yet multifaceted critique of nineteenth-century gender hierarchies. By combining narrative innovation with acute social observation, the sisters advanced a vision of women's independence grounded in moral autonomy and economic self-determination. Their protagonists' struggles against patriarchal constraints register not only personal quests for fulfillment but also collective aspirations for systemic change. Consequently, the Brontës occupy a foundational place in the evolution of feminist thought, bridging early Victorian reformist impulses and later theoretical elaborations on gender and power.

References

1. Brontë C. Jane Eyre / C. Brontë. – London: Smith, Elder & Co., 1847. – 532 p.
2. Brontë E. Wuthering Heights / E. Brontë. – London: Thomas Cautley Newby, 1847. – 416 p.
3. Brontë A. The Tenant of Wildfell Hall / A. Brontë. – London: Thomas Cautley Newby, 1848. – 480 p.
4. Gilbert S. M., Gubar S. The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination. – New Haven: Yale Univ. Press, 1979. – 720 p.
5. Beauvoir S. de. The Second Sex / transl., ed. H. M. Parshley. – New York: Vintage Books, 1989. – 800 p.
6. Marsh J. The Brontës: A Feminist Perspective. – London: Faber & Faber, 1993. – 256 p.
7. Moglen H. Charlotte Brontë: The Self Conceived. – Madison: Univ. of Wisconsin Press, 1976. – 220 p.
8. Tepper M. Reading the Brontë Sisters through a Feminist Lens // Victorian Literature and Culture. – 2014. – Vol. 42, № 1. – P. 85–102.
9. Ellis S. Women and Feminism in the Nineteenth Century. – London: Routledge,

2014. – 294 p.

10. Jones S. O. Social Change and Women's Independence in Victorian England. – Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995. – 350 p.